

# WORKING PAPER NO. 268

# Approximations of Quasi-Variational Problems Including Social Nash Equilibria in Abstract Economies

M. Beatrice Lignola and Jacqueline Morgan

December 2010

**This version February 2012** 







**University of Salerno** 



Bocconi University, Milan

CSEF - Centre for Studies in Economics and Finance DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS – UNIVERSITY OF NAPLES 80126 NAPLES - ITALY Tel. and fax +39 081 675372 – e-mail: <u>csef@unisa.it</u>



# WORKING PAPER NO. 268

# Including Social Nash Equilibria in Abstract Economies

M. Beatrice Lignola<sup>\*</sup> and Jacqueline Morgan<sup>\*\*</sup>

## Abstract

We consider quasi-variational problems (variational problems having constraint sets depending on their own solutions) which appear in concrete economic models such as social and economic networks, financial derivative models, transportation network congestion and traffic equilibrium. First, using an extension of the classical Minty lemma, we show that new upper stability results can be obtained for parametric quasi-variational and linearized quasi-variational problems, while lower stability, which plays a fundamental role in the investigation of hierarchical problems, cannot be achieved in general, even on very restrictive conditions. Then, regularized problems are considered allowing to introduce approximate solutions for the above problems and to investigate their lower and upper stability properties. We stress that the class of quasi-variational problems include social Nash equilibrium problems in abstract economies, so results about approximate Nash equilibria can be easily deduced.

**Keywords**: quasi-variational, social Nash equilibria, approximate solution, closed map, lower semicontinuous map, upper stability, lower stability.

<sup>\*</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni R. Caccioppoli, Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Claudio, 80125 Napoli, Italy, e-mail: lignola@unina.it

<sup>\*\*</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Statistica and CSEF, Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Cinthia, 80126 Napoli, Italy, e-mail: morgan@unina.it

# Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basic notations and background
- 3. Stability of exact solutions
- 4. Approximate solutions and upper stability
- 5. Lower stability of approximate solutions

References

Appendix

## 1 Introduction

The term "quasi-variational" identifies a class of variational problems having constraint sets depending on their own solutions and including several problems, among which

- Social Nash Equilibrium Problem in abstract economies (SNEP) [13],
- Equilibrium Problem (EP) [7],
- Variational Inequality (VI) [5],
- Complementarity Problem (CP) [6],
- Implicit Variational Problem (*IVP*) [36],
- Quasi-Variational Inequality (VI) [5],
- Generalized Variational Inequality (GVI) [11],
- Generalized Quasi-variational Inequality (GQVI) [17],
- Mixed Quasivariational-like Inequality (MQI) [9].

All these theoretical problems play an important role in concrete economic or engineering problems such as social and economic networks modelization [37], financial derivative models [15], transportation network congestion [8], traffic equilibrium [12], electric power market modelization [18], optimal shape design [16], topology optimization in structural mechanics [14],...

A more general formulation, considered in [36], [5], [22], [24], is the following one.

Given a real Banach space U with dual  $U^*$ , let K be a nonempty closed and convex subset of U, let f be a real-valued function defined in  $U \times U$  and S be a set-valued map from K to K with nonempty values.

Then, the quasi-variational problem (QVP) (called in [38] and in [1] quasi-equilibrium problem) looks for the solution set Q defined by

 $u \in \mathcal{Q} \iff u \in S(u) \text{ and } f(u, w) \leq 0 \quad \forall \ w \in S(u).$ 

Each one of the above problems can be described considering an appropriate function and/or set-valued map:

- (SNEP) consider  $f(u, w) = (J_1(u_1, u_2) + J_2(u_1, u_2)) (J_1(u_1, w_2) + J_2(w_1, u_2))$  where  $J_1$ and  $J_2$  are functions from  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are respectively nonempty subsets of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , reflexive real Banach spaces,  $S(u) = S(u_1, u_2) = Q_1(u_2) \times Q_2(u_1)$  where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are set-valued functions from  $Y_2$  to  $Y_1$  and from  $Y_1$  to  $Y_2$  respectively,
- (EP) consider S(u) = K,
- (VI) consider S(u) = K and  $f(u, w) = \langle Au, u w \rangle$  where  $A: U \to U^*$  is an operator,
- (*CP*) consider S(u) = C, where *C* is a convex, closed cone with apex in the origin 0 and  $f(u, w) = \langle Au, u v \rangle$ , where  $A : U \to U^*$  is an operator,
- (QVI) consider  $f(u, w) = \langle Au, u w \rangle$ , where  $A : U \to U^*$  is an operator,

- (*IVP*) consider S(u) = K and  $f(u, w) = g(u, w) + \phi(u, u) \phi(u, w)$  where  $g: U \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi: U \times U \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $(+\infty) (+\infty) = +\infty$ ,
- (GVI) consider S(u) = K and  $f(u, w) = \min_{u^* \in T(u)} \langle u^*, u w \rangle$  where T is a set-valued operator from U to  $U^*$ ,
- (GQVI) consider  $f(u, w) = \min_{u^* \in T(u)} \langle u^*, u w \rangle$  where T is a set-valued operator from U to  $U^*$ ,
- (EP) consider S(u) = K,
- (MQVLI) consider  $f(u,w) = \min_{u^* \in T(u)} \langle u^*, \eta(u,w) \rangle + h(u) h(w)$  where T is a set-valued operator from U to  $U^*, \eta: K \times K \to K$  and  $h: K \to \mathbb{R}$  are functions.

Classically, in order to avoid very restrictive assumptions in the investigation of variational inequalities in infinite dimensional spaces, the following problem is considered [31]:

(LVI) find  $u \in K$  such that  $\langle Av, u - v \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in K$ 

The equivalence between the problems (VI) and (LVI) is provided by the Minty Lemma [31] which represents the prototype to obtain analogous results for most of the problems listed before (see Section 2). Therefore, concerning quasi-variational problems (QVP), it looks natural to introduce the next problem

$$(LQVP)$$
 find  $u \in S(u)$  and  $f(w, u) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ w \in S(u)$ 

whose solution set is denoted by  $\mathcal{LQ}$ .

Problems depending on a parameter t are denoted by

$$(QVP)(t)$$
 find  $u \in S(t, u)$  and  $f(t, u, w) \leq 0 \quad \forall w \in S(t, u)$ 

(respectively (LQVP)(t)  $u \in S(t, u)$  and  $f(t, w, u) \ge 0 \quad \forall w \in S(t, u)$ )

and it is useful to study the *stability* of the solution sets  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{LQ}(t)$  for t belonging to a topological space  $(T, \tau)$ . In this paper we investigate sequential *upper* and/or *lower* stability of  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{LQ}(t)$ , meaning respectively that for every sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging in T to t one has

$$\mathcal{Q}(t) \subseteq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \mathcal{Q}(t_n) \text{ and/or } \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}(t_n) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}(t),$$

where the lim inf and lim sup denote the lower and the upper limit in the sense of Painlevé-Kuratowski [4] of a family of sets, whose definitions will be recalled in Section 2.

At our knowledge, when T is the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$ , the first upper stability results for quasi-variational problems have been established by the authors in [22], while the first lower stability results have been presented by Morgan and Raucci in [32] and [33] for approximate social Nash equilibria. See also, among the many, and more recent, stability results presented for quasivariational problems, [10], [34], [35], [1], [2]. In Section 3 we will show that upper stability results for the solution maps Q and  $\mathcal{L}Q$  can be obtained under mild assumptions on the data while the lower stability of Q and  $\mathcal{L}Q$  may not be achieved in general, even in very restrictive conditions. This lack of stability of the exact solutions motivates to introduce approximate solutions that can be simultaneously lower and upper stable. It is worth mentioning that the lower stability property plays a fundamental role in the investigation of hierarchical problems. Indeed, some examples show that the optimal solutions to perturbed bilevel problems, as well as the optimal values, may not be stable (see Example 4.1 in [23], Example 2.3 in [25]). Therefore, regularized models have been investigated when the lower level is described by an Optimization problem [27], [28], [23], by generalized saddle point equilibria [32], social Nash equilibria [33] or Nash equilibria in mixed strategies [30], considering approximate solutions to the lower level problem which satisfy the lower stability property. This approach has been proved to be fruitful, for instance, when applied to a class of bilevel optimization problems arising in structural optimization [14]. Then, in this paper we aim to investigate approximate solutions for quasi-variational problems (QVP) that turn out to be lower stable. In literature, for all of the problems listed at the beginning, several concepts of approximate solutions have been defined with different motivations and purposes. In particular we mention the papers by Lucchetti-Patrone [29], Revalski [39], Lignola-Morgan [21] and [26], related to approximate solutions for variational inequalities, Morgan-Raucci [33], related to approximate social Nash equilibria, and the papers by Lignola [19] and Ceng-Hadjisavvas-Schaible-Yao [9] concerning approximate solutions for quasivariational inequalities and mixed quasi-variational-like inequalities. Inspired by these papers, we define in Section 4 two concepts of approximate solutions, one for (QVP)'s and one for (LQVP)'s, investigating, for each of them, upper and lower stability properties. Previously, in Section 2, after preliminaries and notations, an overview of the behavior of the exact solutions sets is given.

# 2 Basic notations and background

The investigation of problems of variational or quasi-variational nature in infinite dimensional spaces needs some continuity and monotonicity properties, [4] and [17], in order to avoid very restrictive assumptions. So, we recall here the notions for bivariate functions and for set-valued maps that will be used throughout the paper. We denote by w and s, respectively, the weak and the strong convergence on a normed space U; by int H the interior of a set H; by G(F) the graph of a set-valued map  $F: U \to V$ , where V is a topological space, i.e. the set  $\{(y, v) : v \in F(y)\}$  and, given a positive number r, by B(H, r) the closed ball around H, i.e. the set  $\{u \in E : d(u, H) \leq r\}$ . A function  $f: U \times U \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be: monotone if  $f(u, w) + f(w, u) \geq 0$ , pseudomonotone if  $f(u, w) \leq 0$  implies  $f(w, u) \geq 0$ , coercive if every net  $(u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ , such that  $f(u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) \leq k$  for every  $\alpha$ , has a convergent subnet.

A set-valued map  $F: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ , where  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are topological spaces, is said to be  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -lower semicontinuous at  $x_o \in X$  if for every  $y_o \in F(x_o)$  and every neighborhhood Iof  $y_o$  there exists a neighborhood Q of  $x_o$  such that  $F(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in Q$ ; F is said to be  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -closed at  $x_o$  if for every  $y \notin F(x_o)$  there exist a neighborhood I of  $y_o$  and a neighborhood Q of  $x_o$  such that  $F(x) \cap I = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in Q$ ; F is said to be  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -subcontinuous at  $x_o$  if given a net  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  converging to  $x_o$ , every net  $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  with  $y_\alpha \in F(x_\alpha)$  has a convergent subnet; F is said to be  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -lower semicontinuous (respectively closed or subcontinuous) over a set  $H \subseteq X$  if it is  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -lower semicontinuous (respectively closed or subcontinuous) at x for every  $x \in H$ . If  $\tau$ and  $\sigma$  are first countable then the above properties can be caracterized as follows: F is  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -lower semicontinuous at  $x_o$  iff for every sequence  $(x_n)_n \tau$ -converging to  $x_o$  in X and every  $y_o \in F(x_o)$ there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_n \sigma$ -converging to  $y_o$  in Y such that  $y_n \in F(x_n)$  for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; F is  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -closed at  $x_o$  iff for every  $y_o \notin F(x_o)$  there exist a sequence  $(x_n)_n \tau$ -converging to  $x_o$  in X and a sequence  $(y_n)_n \sigma$ -converging to  $y_o$  in Y such that  $y_n \notin F(x_n)$  for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; F is  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -subcontinuous at  $x_o$  iff, given a sequence  $(x_n)_n \tau$ -converging to  $x_o$  in X, every sequence  $(y_n)_n$  such that  $y_n \in F(x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  has a  $\sigma$ -convergent subsequence.

Let  $(H_n)_n$  be a sequence of subsets of U. The Painlevé-Kuratowski upper and lower limit of the sequence  $(H_n)_n$  are defined as follows.

- $z \in \sigma \limsup_{n} H_n$  if there exists a sequence  $(z_k)_k \sigma \text{converging to } z$  in E such that  $z_k \in H_{n_k}$ , for a subsequence  $(H_{n_k})$  of  $(H_n)_n$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $z \in \sigma$  limited  $H_n$  if there exists a sequence  $(z_n)_n \sigma$ -converging to z in E and such that  $z_n \in H_n$  for n sufficiently large.

During the whole paper we will assume that the set K is nonempty, closed and convex and that the following assumptions are satisfied

## 3 Stability of exact solutions

We start this section extending to quasi-variational problems the classical Minty lemma [31].

**Lemma 3.1** If f is pseudomonotone on K, then every solution  $u_o$  to the quasi-variational problem (QVI) is also a solution to the problem

(LQVI) find  $u \in S(u)$  such that  $f(w, u) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ w \in S(u)$ .

If  $f(\cdot, w)$  is lower semicontinous on the segments of K for every  $w \in K$ ,  $f(u, \cdot)$  is concave on K for every  $u \in K$  and S is convex and closed-valued, then every solution  $u_o$  to the linearized quasi-variational problem (LQVI) is also a solution to the problem (QVI).

### Proof

The proof of the first part is straightforward, so it is omitted. Let  $u_o \in S(u_o)$  such that

$$f(w, u_o) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ w \in S(u_o)$$

and let  $w_o \in S(u_o)$  such that  $w_o \neq u_o$ . For every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  consider  $u_\lambda = \lambda u_o + (1 - \lambda)w_o$ . Since  $f(\cdot, w_o)$  is lower semicontinuous on the segments one has

$$f(u_o, w_o) \leq \liminf f(u_\lambda, w_o),$$

so, in order to prove that  $f(u_o, w_o) \leq 0$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $f(u_\lambda, w_o) \leq 0$  for every  $\lambda \in ]0,1[$ . This inequality follows from the concavity of f in the second variable and observing that  $\lambda f(u_\lambda, u_o) \geq 0$ :

$$f(u_{\lambda}, w_o) \leq f(u_{\lambda}, w_o) + \lambda f(u_{\lambda}, u_o) + \lambda f(u_{\lambda}, w_o) - \lambda f(u_{\lambda}, w_o) \leq f(u_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}) + \lambda f(u_{\lambda}, w_o) \leq \lambda f(u_{\lambda}, w_o).$$

The above lemma can be suitably used to get analogous statements for generalized quasi-variational or variational inequalities, for implicit variational problems and for equilibrium problems. The next two results, that can be proved using standard arguments, concern the topological properties of the solution set-valued maps  $Q: t \in T \to Q(t) \subseteq U$  and  $\mathcal{L}Q: t \in T \to \mathcal{L}Q(t) \subseteq U$ . **Proposition 3.1** Given  $t \in T$ , the set Q(t) is closed when  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $K \times K$  and  $S(t, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and closed-valued.

If f is lower semicontinuous on  $T \times K \times K$  and S is closed and lower semicontinuous on  $T \times K$ , then the set-valued map Q is closed.

If  $f(\cdot, \cdot, w)$  is coercive on  $T \times K$ , for every  $w \in K$ , then the set-valued map Q is subcontinuous.

**Proposition 3.2** Given  $t \in T$ , the set  $\mathcal{LQ}(t)$  is closed when  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $K \times K$  and  $S(t, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and closed-valued.

If f is upper semicontinuous on  $T \times K \times K$  and S is closed and lower semicontinuous on  $T \times K$ , then the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}$  is closed. If  $-f(\cdot, \cdot, w)$  is coercive on  $T \times K$ , for every  $w \in K$ , then the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}$  is subcontinuous.

Unfortunately, both propositions contain a semicontinuity assumption on the function f at the couple (u, w), that could be a very restrictive assumption in the case where  $f(u, w) = \langle Au, u - w \rangle$ . For instance, if U is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in U, it is known that the function  $f(u, w) = \langle u, u - w \rangle$  is not weakly upper semicontinuous on the unitary ball.

Therefore, results avoiding a so restrictive assumption would be desirable. To this end, we recall the following lemma [20] concerning lower convergent sequences of convex sets having nonempty interior.

Lemma 3.2 ([20], Lemma 3.1)

Let  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  be a sequence of nonempty subsets of a Banach space E such that:

- i)  $H_n$  is convex for every  $n \in N$ ;
- ii)  $H_o \subseteq \operatorname{Liminf}_n H_n;$
- iii) there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $\inf_{n \geq m} H_n \neq \emptyset$ .

Then, for every  $u \in int H_o$  there exists a positive real number  $\delta$  such that

$$B(u,\delta) \subseteq H_n \ \forall \ n \ge m.$$

If E is a finite dimensional space, then assumption iii) can be substituted by: iii') int  $H_o \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, we present closedness results for the solution maps Q and  $\mathcal{L}Q$  under "nicer" assumptions.

**Proposition 3.3** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) S is convex-valued,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed on  $T \times K$ ;

ii)  $f(t, u, \cdot)$  is concave on K for every  $t \in T$  and  $u \in K$ ;

iii)  $f(t, \cdot, w)$  is lower semicontinuous on the segments of K for every  $t \in T$  and  $w \in K$ ;

iv) for every  $(t, u, w) \in T \times K \times K$ , for every sequence  $(t_n, u_n, w_n)_n$  such that  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converges to  $t, (u_n, w_n)_n$  (s × s)-converges to (u, w) one has

$$-f(t, w, u) \leq \liminf_{n} f(t_n, u_n, w_n).$$

Then, the set-valued map Q is  $(\tau, s)$ -closed.

#### Proof

Let  $(t_n)_n$  and  $(u_n)_n$  be sequences converging to  $t_o$  and  $u_o$ , respectively in T and in K, such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $u_n \in \mathcal{Q}(t_n)$ , that is

$$u_n \in S(t_n, u_n)$$
 and  $f(t_n, u_n, w) \leq 0 \ \forall \ w \in S(t_n, u_n).$ 

Since the closedness of S implies that  $u_o \in S(t_o, u_o)$ , in order to prove that  $u_o \in Q(t_o)$  it takes only to prove that for every  $w \in S(t_o, u_o)$  one has  $f(t_o, u_o, w) \leq 0$ . Being S lower semicontinuous, given  $w \in S(t_o, u_o)$ , there exists a sequence  $(w_n)_n$  converging to w such that  $w_n \in S(t_n, u_n)$  for n sufficiently large and, by condition iv, one gets  $-f(t_o, w, u_o) \leq 0$ .

Therefore  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}(t_o)$  and the proof can be completed adapting the proof of Lemma 3.1 to parametric quasi-variational problems.

**Proposition 3.4** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) S is convex-valued,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed on  $T \times K$ ;

ii)  $f(\cdot, u, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $T \times K$  for every  $u \in K$ ;

iii)  $f(t, \cdot, w)$  is upper semicontinuous on the segments of K for every  $t \in T$  and  $w \in K$ ;

iv) for every sequence  $(t_n, u_n)_n$ ,  $t_n \in T$  and  $u_n \in K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converges in T and  $(u_n)_n$  s-converges in K, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$int\bigcap_{n\geq m}S(t_n,u_n)\neq \emptyset$$

Then, the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -closed. If U is a finite dimensional space, then assumption iv) can be substituted by: iv') for every  $t \in T$ and  $u \in K$ , int  $S(t, u) \neq \emptyset$ .

### Proof

Let  $(t_n)_n$  and  $(u_n)_n$  be sequences converging to  $t_o$  and  $u_o$ , respectively in T and in K, such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$u_n \in S(t_n, u_n)$$
 and  $-f(t_n, w, u_n) \leq 0 \ \forall \ w \in S(t_n, u_n).$ 

Since the closedness of S implies that  $u_o \in S(t_o, u_o)$ , in order to prove that  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}(t_o)$  it takes only to prove that for every  $w \in S(t_o, u_o)$  one has  $-f(t_o, w, u_o) \leq 0$ . Given  $w \in intS(t_o, u_o)$ , the lower semicontinuity of S, assumption iv) and Lemma 3.2 imply that  $w \in intS(t_n, u_n)$  for n sufficiently large and, by condition ii), one gets  $-f(t_o, w, u_o) \leq 0$ . When  $w \in S(t_o, u_o) - intS(t_o, u_o)$ , being  $S(t_o, u_o)$  a convex set, there exists a sequence  $(w_n)_n$  converging to w such that  $w_n \in intS(t_o, u_o)$ . Therefore  $-f(t_o, w_n, u_o) \leq 0$  and assumption iii implies that  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}(t_o)$ .

**Remark 3.1** Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 also provide a  $(\tau, w)$ -closedness result for the solution maps Q and  $\mathcal{L}Q$  if in iv) the weak convergence of the sequence  $(u_n)_n$  is required instead of the strong convergence and in i) the set-valued map S is assumed to be convex-valued,  $(\tau \times w, s)$ -lower semicontinuous and  $(\tau \times w, w)$ -closed on  $T \times K$ .

**Remark 3.2** The assumptions of Proposition 3.3 imply that  $\mathcal{Q}(t) = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}(t)$  for every  $t \in T$ , since Lemma 3.1 holds for the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ . Thus, Proposition 3.3 gives also a  $\tau \times s$ -closedness result for the map  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}$  that is not comparable with Proposition 3.4 in which assumption iv) on the constraint map S (that is not present in Proposition 3.3) plays an essential role.

As announced in the Introduction, the maps  $\mathcal{LQ}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  may fail to be lower semicontinuous even in presence of very regular data.

**Example 3.1** Let T = [-1, 1], U = R, S(t, u) = K = [-1, 1], f(t, u, w) = t(u - w), for each  $t \in T$ . With such data, the problem (QVP)(t) consists of finding  $u \in [-1, 1]$  such that  $t(u - w) \leq 0$  for any  $w \in [-1, 1]$ . So, the solutions map Q is

$$\mathcal{Q}(t) = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } t < 0\\ [-1,1] & \text{if } t = 0\\ \{-1\} & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

which is not lower semicontinuous at t = 0. More precisely, there exist a sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging to 0 and an element  $u \in \mathcal{Q}(0)$ , for example u = 0, such that every sequence  $(u_n)_n$ ,  $u_n \in \mathcal{Q}(t_n)$  for n large, does not converge to u. Observing that -f(t, w, u) = f(t, u, w), one gets  $\mathcal{Q}(t) = \mathcal{LQ}(t)$ for every  $t \in T$ , so also the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}$  is not lower semicontinuous at t = 0.

This lack of lower semicontinuity leads us to introduce suitable concepts of approximate solution maps for quasi-variational problems.

# 4 Upper and lower stability of approximate solutions

Given a positive real number r, consider the set-valued maps defined on the parameters set T by

$$Q_r(t) = \{ u \in K : u \in B(S(t, u), r) \text{ and } f(t, u, w) \le r \ \forall \ w \in S(t, u) \}$$

$$\mathcal{LQ}_r(t) = \{ u \in K : u \in B(S(t,u),r) \text{ and } -f(t,w,u) \leq r \ \forall \ w \in S(t,u) \}$$

for every  $t \in T$ .

It is easy to see that the maps  $Q_r$  and  $\mathcal{L}Q_r$  are "upper stable" in the same assumptions of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. However, a result in line with Proposition 3.3 cannot be expected for the map  $Q_r$  since, in general, a Minty Lemma type does not hold for  $Q_r$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .

**Example 4.1** Consider  $U = \mathbb{R}$ , S(t, u) = K = [0, 1] and f(u, w) = u(u - w). Then one easily checks that  $\mathcal{Q}_r = [\frac{1 - \sqrt{4r+1}}{2}, \sqrt{r}] \subset \mathcal{LQ}_r = [-r, 2\sqrt{r}].$ 

Now, we give a closedness result for the map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$  under "nice" assumptions.

### **Proposition 4.1** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) S is convex-valued,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -subcontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

ii)  $f(\cdot, u, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $T \times K$  for every  $u \in K$ ;

iii)  $f(t, \cdot, w)$  is upper semicontinuous on the segments of K for every  $t \in T$  and  $w \in K$ ;

iv) for every sequence  $(t_n, u_n)_n$ ,  $t_n \in T$  and  $u_n \in K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converges in T and  $(u_n)_n$  s-converges in K, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$int \bigcap_{n \ge m} S(t_n, u_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -closed.

If U is a finite dimensional space, then assumption iv) can be substituted by: iv') for every  $t \in T$  and  $u \in K$ , int  $S(t, u) \neq \emptyset$ .

## Proof

Let  $(t_n)_n$  and  $(u_n)_n$  be sequences converging, respectively in T and in K to  $t_o$  and  $u_o$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$u_n \in B(S(t_n, u_n), r)$$
 and  $-f(t_n, w, u_n) \leq r \forall w \in S(t_n, u_n).$ 

The closedness and the subcontinuity of S imply that  $u_o \in B(S(t_o, u_o), r)$ . Indeed, if we assume that  $d(u_o, S(t_o, u_o)) > a > r \ge d(u_n, S(t_n, u_n))$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sequence  $(v_n)_n$  such that  $v_n \in S(t_n, u_n)$  and  $||u_n - v_n|| < a$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A subsequence  $(v_{n_k})_k$  must strongly

converge to a point  $v_o \in S(t_o, u_o)$  and this leads to a contradiction.

Then, in order to show that  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}_r(t_o)$  it takes to prove that for every  $w \in S(t_o, u_o)$  one has  $-f(t_o, w, u_o) \leq r$ .

Observing that the lower semicontinuity of S allows to apply Lemma 3.2 taking  $H_n = S(t_n, u_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H_o = S(t_o, u_o)$ , whenever  $w \in intS(t_o, u_o)$  one has that  $w \in intS(t_n, u_n)$  for n sufficiently large and condition *ii*) implies that  $-f(t_o, w, u_o) \leq r$ .

If  $w \in S(t_o, u_o) - intS(t_o, u_o)$ , there exists a sequence  $(w_n)_n$  converging to w such that  $w_n \in intS(t_o, u_o)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore one has  $-f(t_o, w_n, u_o) \leq ||u_o - w_n||$  and assumption *iii*) implies that  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}_r(t_o)$ .

As observed in Remark 3.1, results concerning the  $(\tau \times w)$ -closedness of the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$ , can be also achieved.

Now, we investigate the lower stability of the approximate solution maps and we start proving a lower semicontinuity result for a kind of approximate fixed points.

## **Proposition 4.2** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) the set-valued map S is closed-valued, convex-valued and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

ii) for every sequence  $(t_n, u_n)_n$ ,  $t_n \in T$  and  $u_n \in K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converges in T and  $(u_n)_n$  s-converges in K, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$int \bigcap_{n \ge m} S(t_n, u_n) \neq \emptyset$$

Then we get:

- for every  $t \in T$ , for every sequence  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converging to t, every  $u \in K$  such that  $u \in B(S(t, u), r)$ , there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_n$  strongly converging to u such that  $u_n \in int B(S(t_n, u_n), r)$  for n sufficiently large;

- the set-valued map

$$\mathcal{F}_r : t \in T \to \{u \in K : d(S(t, u), u) \le r\}$$

is lower semicontinuous.

If U is a finite dimensional space, then assumption ii) can be substituted by: ii') for every  $t \in T$  and  $u \in K$ , int  $S(t, u) \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Proof

We start considering  $u \in int B(S(t, u), r)$ , i.e. d(u, S(t, u)) < r. Let  $z \in S(t, u)$  and ||z - u|| < rthere exists a sequence  $(z_n)_n$  converging to z such that  $z_n \in S(t_n, u)$ . Since  $||z_n - u|| < r$  for nsufficiently large, one can put  $u_n = u$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, we assume that ||u - z|| = r for some  $z \in S(t, u)$  starting by the case where  $z \in int S(t, u)$ . If  $(\lambda_n)_n$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers in [0,1] converging to 0, the sequence obtained setting  $u_n = \lambda_n z + (1 - \lambda_n)u$  converges to u,  $||u_n - z|| = (1 - \lambda_n)||u - z|| < r$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in int S(t_n, u_n)$  for n large in light of condition ii) and Lemma 3.2.

Finally, assume that  $z \in S(t, u) - int S(t, u)$ . Let  $(z_n)_n$  a sequence strongly converging to z whole contained in int S(t, u). Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a sequence  $(\widetilde{u}_k^n)_k$  strongly converging to u and such that  $d(\widetilde{u}_k^n, S(t_k, \widetilde{u}_k^n)) < r$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying a diagonalization argument (see [3] Corollary 1.18), there exists an increasing sequence (k(n))n such that  $(\widetilde{u}_{k(n)}^n)_n$  converges to uand  $d(\widetilde{u}_{k(n)}^n, S(t_{k(n)}, \widetilde{u}_{k(n)}^n)) < r$ . The second point can be obviously deduced from the first one.

The next two propositions are concerned with the lower semicontinuity of the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$ and  $\mathcal{Q}_r$ . **Proposition 4.3** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) the set-valued map S is convex-graph;

ii) the set-valued map S is  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinous,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -subcontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

iii) the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strictly quasi-concave on  $K \times K$ , for every  $t \in T$ ;

iv) for every  $(t, u) \in T \times K$ , for every sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging to t in  $\tau$ , there exists a sequence  $(u'_n)_n$  which strongly converges to u in K such that for every  $w \in K$  and every sequence  $(w_n)_n$  strongly converging to w in K one has

$$f(t, w, u) \leq \liminf_{n} f(t_n, w_n, u'_n);$$

v) for every  $t \in T$  there exists  $z \in K$  such that

$$d(z, S(t, z)) < r$$
 and  $-f(t, w, z) < r \ \forall \ w \in S(t, z).$ 

Then, the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -lower semicontinuous on T.

### Proof

The proof consists in two steps. **Step 1** - For every  $t \in T$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}_r(t) \subseteq cl\,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}}_r(t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Q}_r(t) = \{ u \in K : d(u, S(t, u)) < r \text{ and } -f(t, w, u) < r \forall w \in S(t, u) \}$$

Assume that there exist  $t_o \in T$  and  $u_o \in \mathcal{LQ}_r(t_o)$  such that  $u_o \notin cl\widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t_o)$ . Assumption v) says that there exists  $z_o \in K$  such that

$$d(z_o, S(t_o, z_o)) < r \text{ and } -f(t_o, w, z_o) < r \ \forall \ w \in S(t_o, z_o).$$

Given a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_n$  converging to 0 in [0,1], consider, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the point

$$u_n = \lambda_n z_o + (1 - \lambda_n) u_o$$

and observe that, in light of assumptions i) and ii), one has  $d(u_n, S(t_o, u_n)) < r$ , since, for every  $t \in T$ , the function  $u \in K \to d(u, S(t, u))$  turns to be convex.

Moreover, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S(t_o, u_n) = \lambda_n S(t_o, z_o) + (1 - \lambda_n) S(t_o, u_o)$  so, if  $w_n \in S(t_o, u_n)$ , there exist  $p_n \in S(t_o, z_o)$  and  $q_n \in S(t_o, u_o)$  such that  $w_n = \lambda_n p_n + (1 - \lambda_n) q_n$ . Therefore, being  $u_o \neq z_o$  since  $u_o \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t_o)$ , assumption *iii*) implies that

$$-f(t_o, w_n, u_n) < max \{-f(t_o, p_n, z_o), -f(t_o, q_n, u_o)\} \le r$$

and one gets a contradiction considering that  $u_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t_o)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_o = \lim_n u_n \in cl\widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t_o)$ .

Step 2 - The set-valued map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r: \ t \in T \to \widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t)$$

is  $(\tau, s)$ -lower semicontinuous on T.

Assume that the set-valued map  $\mathcal{L}Q_r$  is not lower semicontinuous on T and find  $t' \in T$ ,  $u' \in \mathcal{L}Q_r(t')$ and a sequence  $(t'_n)_n \tau$ -converging to t' in T such that  $u' \notin \liminf \mathcal{L}Q_r(t'_n)$ .

Consequently, for the sequence  $(u'_n)_n$  in assumption iv) there exists a subsequence  $(u'_{n_k})_k$  such that

$$u'_{n_k} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t'_{n_k}) \ \forall \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since d(u', S(t, u')) < r, from assumption ii) one can infer that  $d(u'_{n_k}, S(t_{n_k}, u'_{n_k})) < r$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, so, for such indexes k there exist  $w'_k \in S(t'_{n_k}, u'_{n_k})$  such that  $-f(t'_{n_k}, w'_k, u'_{n_k}) \ge r$ . Since the map S is closed and subcontinuous, the sequence  $(w'_k)_k$  has a subsequence, still denoted by  $(w'_k)_k$ , converging to  $w' \in S(t', u')$ , and, using assumption iv), one gets  $-f(t', w', u') \ge r$  that is in contradiction with  $u' \in \widehat{\mathcal{LQ}}_r(t')$ .

Finally, whatever is the sequence  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converging to  $t \in T$ , one gets

$$\mathcal{LQ}_r(t) \subseteq cl \, \mathcal{L}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r(t) \subseteq cl \, \liminf_n \, \mathcal{L}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r(t_n) = \liminf_n \, \mathcal{L}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r(t_n) \subseteq \liminf_n \, \mathcal{LQ}_r(t_n)$$

and the proof is complete.

Similarly one can prove:

**Proposition 4.4** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) the set-valued map S is convex-graph;

ii) the set-valued map S is  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -subcontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

iii) the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strictly quasi-convex on  $K \times K$ , for every  $t \in T$ ;

iv) for every  $(t, u) \in T \times K$ , for every sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging to t in  $\tau$ , there exists a sequence  $(u'_n)_n$  which strongly converges to u in K such that for every  $w \in K$  and every sequence  $(w_n)_n$  strongly converging to w in K one has

$$f(t, u, w) \ge \limsup f(t_n, u'_n, w_n);$$

v) for every  $t \in T$  there exists  $z \in K$  such that

$$d(z, S(t, z)) < r \quad and \ f(t, z, w) < r \ \forall \ w \in S(t, z).$$

Then, the set-valued map  $Q_r$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -lower semicontinuous on T.

**Corollary 4.1** Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) the set-valued map S is convex-graph;

ii) the set-valued map S is  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -subcontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

iii) the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strictly quasi-concave on  $K \times K$ , for every  $t \in T$ ;

iv) for every  $(t, u) \in T \times K$ , for every sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging to t in  $\tau$ , there exists a sequence  $(u'_n)_n$  which strongly converges to u in K such that for every  $w \in K$  and every sequence  $(w_n)_n$  strongly converging to w in K one has

$$f(t, w, u) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(t_n, w_n, u'_n);$$

v) the function  $f(\cdot, u, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $T \times K$ , for every  $u \in K$ ;

vi) the function  $f(t, \cdot, w)$  is upper semicontinuous on the segments of K, for every  $t \in T$  and  $w \in K$ ; vii) for every sequence  $(t_n, u_n)_n$ ,  $t_n \in T$  and  $u_n \in K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(t_n)_n \tau$ -converges in and  $(u_n)_n$  s-converges in K, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$int\bigcap_{n\geq m}S(t_n,u_n)\neq \emptyset;$$

viii) for every  $t \in T$  there exists  $z \in K$  such that

$$d(z, S(t, z)) < r \text{ and } -f(t, w, z) < r \ \forall \ w \in S(t, z).$$

Then, the set-valued map  $\mathcal{LQ}_r$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -lower semicontinuous and  $(\tau, s)$ -closed on T.

Corollary 4.2 Assume that the following assumptions hold:

i) the set-valued map S is convex-graph;

ii) the set-valued map S is  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -lower semicontinuous,  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -closed and  $(\tau \times s, s)$ -subcontinuous on  $T \times K$ ;

iii) the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strictly quasi-convex on  $K \times K$ , for every  $t \in T$ ;

iv) the function f is lower semicontinuous on  $T \times K \times K$ ;

v) for every  $(t, u) \in T \times K$ , for every sequence  $(t_n)_n$  converging to t in  $\tau$ , there exists a sequence  $(u'_n)_n$  which strongly converges to u in K such that for every  $w \in K$  and every sequence  $(w_n)_n$  strongly converging to w in K one has

$$f(t, u, w) \ge \limsup_{n} f(t_n, u'_n, w_n);$$

vi) for every  $t \in T$  there exists  $z \in K$  such that

d(z, S(t, z)) < r and  $-f(t, w, z) < r \ \forall \ w \in S(t, z).$ 

Then, the set-valued map  $Q_r$  is  $(\tau, s)$ -lower semicontinuous and  $(\tau, s)$ -closed on T.

## References

- L.Q. Anh and P.Q. Khanh, Holder Continuity of the Unique Solution to Quasiequilibrium Problems in Metric Spaces, J. Optim. Theory Appl., (2009), 141, 37-54.
- [2] L.Q. Anh and P.Q. Khanh, Continuity of solution maps of parametric quasiequilibrium problems, J. Glob. Optim., (2010), 46, 247-259.
- [3] H. Attouch, Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators, Applicable Mathematics Series, Pitman, Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1984.
- [4] J.P. Aubin and A. Frankowska, Set-valued Analysis, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, 1990.
- [5] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, Variational and Quasivariational Inequalities, applications to free boundary problems, John Wiley and Sons, New-York, 1984.

- [6] M.S. Bazaraa, J.J. Goode and M.Z. Nashed, A nonlinear complementarity problem in mathematical programming in Banach space, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, (1972), 35, no. 1, 165-170.
- [7] E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, The Mathematics Student, (1994), 63, 123-145.
- [8] G. Carlier, C. Jimenez and F. Santambrogio, Optimal transportation with traffic congestion and Wardrop equilibria, SIAM J. Control Optim., (2008), 47, no. 3, 1330-1350.
- [9] L.C. Ceng, N. Hadjisavvas, S. Schaible and J. C. Yao, Well-Posedness for Mixed Quasivariational-Like Inequalities, J. Optim. Theory Appl., (2008), 139, 109-125.
- [10] G.Y. Chen, S.J. Li and K.L. Teo, On the stability of generalized vector quasivariational inequality problems, J. Optim. Theory Appl., (2002), 113, 283-295.
- [11] J.P. Crouzeix, Pseudomonotone variational inequality problems: Existence of solutions, Mathematical Programming, (1997), 78, 305-314.
- [12] P. Daniele, Dynamic networks and evolutionary variational inequalities. New Dimensions in Networks, Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, (2006), Cheltenham.
- [13] G. Debreu, A Social equilibrium existence theorem, Pro. Nat. Acad. Sci. of USA, (1952), 38, 886-893.
- [14] A. Evgrafov and M. Patriksson, On the convergence of stationary sequences in topology optimization, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg., (2005), 64, no. 1, 17-44.
- [15] S.M. Focardi and F.J. Fabozzi, The Mathematics of Financial Modeling and Investment Management, (Frank J. Fabozzi Series)(2004), Wiley Finance.
- [16] Gilbert, Panagiotopoulos and Pardalos eds, From convexity to nonconvexity. Invited papers dedicated to the memory of Gaetano Fichera and P. D. Panagiotopoulos, Nonconvex Optimization and its Applications, (2001), 55, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- [17] P.T. Harker and J.S. Pang, Finite-dimensional variational inequality and nonlinear complementarity problems: a survey of theory, algorithms and application, Mathematical Programming, (1990), 48, 161-220.
- [18] B.F. Hobbs and J.S. Pang, Nash-Cournot equilibria in electric power markets with piecewise linear demand functions and joint constraints. Oper. Res., (2007), 55, no. 1, 113-127.
- [19] M.B. Lignola, Well-Posedness and L-Well-Posedness for Quasi-Variational inequalities, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, (2006), 128, no. 1, 119-138.
- [20] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Semicontinuity and Episemicontinuity: Equivalence and Applications, Bollettino dell' Unione Matematica Italiana, (1994), 8-B, 1-16.
- [21] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Approximate Solutions to Variational Inequalities and Applications, Le Matematiche, (1994), 49, 281-293.
- [22] M. B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Convergence of Solutions of Quasi-Variational Inequalities and Applications, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., (1997), 10, 375-385.

- [23] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Stability of Regularized Bilevel Programming Problems, J. Optim. Theory and Appl., (1997), 93, 575-596.
- [24] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Existence of Solutions to Bilevel Variational Problems in Banach Spaces, in F. Giannessi, A. Maugeri and P.M.Pardalos Equilibrium Problems and Variational Models, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2001).
- [25] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Convergence Results for Weak Efficiency in Vector Optimization Problems with Equilibrium Constraints, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, (2007), 133, no.1, 117-121.
- [26] M.B. Lignola and J. Morgan, Well-posedness for optimization problems with constraints defined by variational inequalities having a unique solution, Journal of Global Optimization, (1999), 16, 57-67.
- [27] P. Loridan and J. Morgan, New results on Approximate Solutions in Two Level Optimization, Optimization, (1989), 20, 819-836.
- [28] P. Loridan and J. Morgan, Weak Via Strong Stackelberg Problem: New Results, J. Global Optimation,(1996), 8, 263-287.
- [29] R. Lucchetti and F. Patrone, A characterization of Tychonov well-posedness for minimum problems, with applications to variational inequalities, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, (1981), 3, 461-476.
- [30] L. Mallozzi and J. Morgan, On approximate mixed Nash equilibria and average marginal functions for two-stage three players games", On Optimization with Multivalued Mappings: Theory, Applications and Algorithms, Springer (2006), 97-107.
- [31] G.J. Minty, On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, (1967), 73, 314-321.
- [32] J. Morgan and R. Raucci, Continuity Properties of  $\epsilon$ -Solutions for Generalized Parametric Saddle Point Problems and Application to Hierarchical Games, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, (1997), 211, 30-48.
- [33] J. Morgan and R. Raucci, Lower Semicontinuity for Approximate Social Nash Equilibria, International Journal of Game Theory, (2002), 31, no. 4, 499-509.
- [34] J. Morgan and M. Romaniello, Generalized quasi-variational inequalities: Duality under perturbations, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, (2006), 324, 773-784.
- [35] J. Morgan and V. Scalzo, Variational stability of social Nash equilibria, International Game Theory Review, (2008), 10, 17-24.
- [36] U. Mosco, Implicit Variational Problems and Quasivariational Inequalities, Proc. Summer School (Bruxelles, 1975) Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1976), 83-156.
- [37] A. Nagurney, Network economics: a variational inequality approach, Advances in Computational Economics, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1993), 1.

- [38] M.A. Noor and W. Oettli, On general nonlinear complementarity problems and quasiequilibria, Le Mathematiche, (1994), 49, 313-331.
- [39] J. Revalski, Variational Inequalities with unique solution, in Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, (1985) Sofia.